

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,265	04/26/2006	Klaus Anderle	PU040223	4774	
24498 Joseph J. Laks				EXAMINER	
Thomson Licensing LLC 2 Independence Way, Patent Operations PO Box 5312			GARCIA, GABRIEL I		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543		2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
10/577,265	ANDERLE ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
GABRIEL I. GARCIA	2625					

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failton for providing the provision of 37 CFR 1.06(a) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failton for provi with the sate of extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become MARMONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.740E.	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-Z is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2006</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b) □ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
Audicinients)	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/26/06.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/577,265

Art Unit: 2625

Part III DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,265 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

rejection.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 10/978,177. Claims 1-6 are identical. It appears that claim 1-6 should have been canceled, and claim 7 should be the only pending claim in this application. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jorge Villabon on 8/1/08 to request a cancellation to claims 1-6 or clarification, but did not result in a cancellation or clarification. Applicant's representative was not available. This is a double patenting

3. Applicant is advised that should claims 1-6 of prior U.S. Patent No. 10/978,177 be found allowable, claims 1-6 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Application/Control Number: 10/577,265

Art Unit: 2625

 Claims 1,3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramamurthy et al. (WO 00/54213).

With regard to claim 1. Ramamurthy et al. teaches a method for the color correction of digital image data (reads on figs 1-4, which depicts the color correction between what is shown in the monitor to what is shown in the film recorder) generated by spectral absorption of white light in color filters of a first representation means (see fig. 6b, 7b, and 8c, the color filters being the conversion of RGB to CIEXYZ), the method comprising the following steps: (a) detection of the primary color values of the image data, the primary color (e.g. RGB) values being related to the first representation means (reads on figs.1.4D, 4E, 5D, 5E, and 7B, which receives the scanned colors such RGB) (b) correction of the primary color values in order to generate secondary color values. which are related to a second representation means and which take account of the absorption of light in secondary densities of the color filters (reads on fig. 6b, which depicts the color correction to obtain the secondary color values CIEXYZ and CIELAB), wherein (c) a plurality of absorption spectra are generated for different densities of at least one color filter (reads on fig. 8a), and (d) the spectral profile of the absorption spectra of the color filters influences the correction of the primary color values for generating the secondary color values (reads on 4D, 4E, 5D, 5E, which depicts how the profiles are to generate the secondary color values).

With regard to claim 3, Ramamurthy et al. further teaches wherein a plurality of absorption spectra are generated for all the color filters (reads on fig. 6a, 6b., and fig. 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/577,265 Art Unit: 2625

With regard to claim 7, Ramamurthy et al. teaches a video system (reads on the abstract that describes how the color correction is implemented in the production of motion pictures or video) comprising: at least one input for receiving incoming video data, said incoming video data characterized by a first set of color characteristics (reads on the digital recorder and recorder profile of fig. 1); at least one output for delivering outgoing video data to a display device (see fig. 1, which depicts how the recorder video can be viewed by a monitor), said outgoing data comprising a second set of color characteristics (reads on printer profile of fig. 1); at least one database storing a plurality of sets of color characteristics(reads on the color management system created to make the color correction as depicted in fig. 4b); at least one processor coupled to said database for converting said incoming video data into outgoing video data as a based upon at least on of said sets of characteristics stored in said database (reads on claim 1).

Conclusion

5. Claims 2, 4, 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and claims will be allowed if the rejection under 35 U.S.C 101 is overcome. The prior art of record does not teach color correction method as taught by applicant's invention having the features with the details as described in claims 2.4.5 and 6.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/577,265 Art Unit: 2625

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lum (4,746,592) teaches a color correction in negative image using positive imaging chemistry.

Takada et al. (5,szaq309,256) teaches a method and apparatus for processing image and correction chart employed in the apparatus.

Satou et al. (5,838,465) teaches a color compensating method of color image and color image generating apparatus.

Fukuda et al. (6,624,876) teaches a method of calibration pattern and printer.

Deering (6,950,109) teaches a muti-spectral color correction

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabriel I. Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7434. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-6:00 PM. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Application/Control Number: 10/577,265 Page 7

Art Unit: 2625

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

/Gabriel I Garcia/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Gabriel I. Garcia Primary Examiner August 1, 2008